Cell Reports

DIGIT Is a Conserved Long Noncoding RNA that Regulates GSC Expression to Control Definitive Endoderm Differentiation of Embryonic Stem Cells

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- The IncRNA DIGIT is induced by activin signaling during endoderm differentiation
- Depletion of DIGIT inhibits definitive endoderm differentiation in culture
- DIGIT regulates differentiation of human and mouse embryonic stem cells
- DIGIT regulates expression of Goosecoid to control endoderm differentiation

Byeong-Moo Kim, ..., Jesse Mager, Michael Y. Choi, Alan C. Mullen

Correspondence

acmullen@mgh.harvard.edu

In Brief

Authors

Daneshvar et al. identify DIGIT as a conserved IncRNA that is induced by activin signaling and controls definitive endoderm differentiation of human and mouse embryonic stem cells. DIGIT is transcribed divergently relative to Goosecoid (GSC) and regulates GSC expression to control differentiation.

Kaveh Daneshvar, Joshua V. Pondick,

Accession Numbers GSE75297





DIGIT Is a Conserved Long Noncoding RNA that Regulates GSC Expression to Control Definitive Endoderm Differentiation of Embryonic Stem Cells

Kaveh Daneshvar,¹ Joshua V. Pondick,¹ Byeong-Moo Kim,¹ Chan Zhou,¹ Samuel R. York,¹ Jillian A. Macklin,¹ Ameed Abualteen,^{1,2} Bo Tan,^{1,3} Alla A. Sigova,⁴ Chelsea Marcho,⁵ Kimberly D. Tremblay,⁵ Jesse Mager,⁵ Michael Y. Choi,^{1,2} and Alan C. Mullen^{1,2,6,*}

¹Gastrointestinal Unit, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02114, USA ²Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

³School of Fundamental Medical Sciences, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou 510405, China ⁴Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

⁵Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003, USA ⁶Lead Contact

*Correspondence: acmullen@mgh.harvard.edu http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.09.017

SUMMARY

Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) exhibit diverse functions, including regulation of development. Here, we combine genome-wide mapping of SMAD3 occupancy with expression analysis to identify IncRNAs induced by activin signaling during endoderm differentiation of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs). We find that *DIGIT* is divergent to *Goosecoid* (GSC) and expressed during endoderm differentiation. Deletion of the SMAD3-occupied enhancer proximal to DIGIT inhibits DIGIT and GSC expression and definitive endoderm differentiation. Disruption of the gene encoding DIGIT and depletion of the DIGIT transcript reveal that DIGIT is required for definitive endoderm differentiation. In addition, we identify the mouse ortholog of *DIGIT* and show that it is expressed during development and promotes definitive endoderm differentiation of mouse ESCs. DIGIT regulates GSC in trans, and activation of endogenous GSC expression is sufficient to rescue definitive endoderm differentiation in DIGIT-deficient hESCs. Our study defines DIGIT as a conserved noncoding developmental regulator of definitive endoderm.

INTRODUCTION

Definitive endoderm (DE) differentiation is one of the earliest steps in lineage commitment, leading to development of the gastrointestinal organs, lungs, and thymus (Zorn and Wells, 2009). Activin or Nodal signaling, through the transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) receptors, is the primary event initiating DE differentiation of embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (D'Amour et al., 2005; Kubo et al., 2004). Activation of the TGF- β receptors leads to phosphorylation of the transcription factors SMAD2 and SMAD3, which translocate from the cytoplasm to the nucleus

to regulate gene expression (Massagué et al., 2005). SMAD2/3 tend to occupy unique enhancers in different cell types by associating with key transcription factors that determine cell identity (Mullen et al., 2011). As a result, SMAD2 and SMAD3 switch locations to occupy many new enhancers during DE differentiation (Brown et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2011). Key mesendoderm and endoderm transcriptional regulators including EOMES, MIXL1, SOX17, and FOXA2 are each direct targets of the activin/ SMAD2/3 pathway during differentiation (Brown et al., 2011; D'Amour et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2011).

Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) are increasingly recognized as regulators of development and differentiation. IncRNAs are greater than 200 nt in length, are often polyadenylated, have the same features as mRNAs (Guttman et al., 2009), and can localize to the nucleus or cytoplasm without being translated into proteins (Cabili et al., 2015). Recent studies have identified IncRNAs as key contributors to the specification of lineages derived from all three germ layers, including neural (Sauvageau et al., 2013), epidermal (Kretz et al., 2012), cardiovascular (Grote et al., 2013; Klattenhoff et al., 2013), dendritic (Wang et al., 2014), skeletal muscle (Gong et al., 2015), and lung (Herriges et al., 2014).

Although many IncRNAs have been identified and cataloged in human cells (Derrien et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2014), in most cases, their functions have yet to be defined. Two studies have identified IncRNAs induced in human endoderm differentiation (Jiang et al., 2015; Sigova et al., 2013). However, the IncRNAs directly targeted by activin signaling that contribute to regulation of DE differentiation remain unknown. We mapped the genome-wide occupancy of SMAD3 during endoderm differentiation and identified *DIGIT* (Divergent to GSC, Induced by TGF-β family signaling) as an IncRNA regulated by an enhancer bound by SMAD3 following activin signaling. We found that DIGIT is required for productive DE differentiation of both human and mouse ESCs. DIGIT is divergently transcribed from the mesendoderm regulator Goosecoid (GSC), and DIGIT and GSC transcripts are induced coordinately in the same cells during differentiation. GSC expression is regulated by DIGIT in trans, and the defect in DE differentiation present in *DIGIT*-deficient human ESCs (hESCs) can be rescued by the induction of *GSC*. These results identify *DIGIT* as an early regulator of DE differentiation for both human and mouse ESCs.

RESULTS

DIGIT Is Induced by Activin Signaling

First, we defined the IncRNAs that were induced by activin signaling during endoderm differentiation. Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) identified 252 SMAD3 enhancers activated with the induction of endoderm (Figures 1A and 1B) (Table S1). Steady-state levels of 1,387 IncRNAs were elevated at least 2-fold (Sigova et al., 2013) with endoderm differentiation, and 14 of these IncRNAs were in close proximity to SMAD3 enhancers, suggesting that they may be direct targets of activin signaling. Of these 14 candidates, four showed transcriptional activation during endoderm differentiation, as measured by global run on sequencing (GRO-seq; p < 0.01) (Sigova et al., 2013). Only one IncRNA had an ortholog annotated in the mouse genome (GRCm38/mm10), suggesting possible functional conservation. Transcription of this IncRNA was activated during endoderm differentiation as measured by GRO-seq, together with the divergently transcribed developmental transcription factor GSC (Figure 1C). Formaldehyde-assisted isolation of regulatory elements (FAIRE) (Giresi et al., 2007; Simon et al., 2012) showed that DIGIT and GSC are transcribed from bidirectional promoters (Scruggs et al., 2015), characterized by nucleosome depletion between the two transcription start sites (TSSs) during endoderm differentiation (Figure S1A).

To validate the genomic features of DIGIT, we generated cDNA using poly(A) (polyadenylated) RNA isolated from differentiating hESCs and performed rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) to define the location of the 5' cap and the 3' terminus of the DIGIT transcript (Figure S1B; the full sequence is contained in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures). We then cloned the full-length transcript from poly(A) RNA to confirm expression during endoderm differentiation. This analysis showed that DIGIT is encoded by two exons, as predicted by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Sigova et al., 2013), and defined the 3' end of exon 2 to be downstream of the 3' end predicted by RNA-seq (Figure 1C, cloned). We then analyzed DIGIT expression during endoderm differentiation using qRT-PCR. Both DIGIT and GSC are induced during the first 4 days of endoderm differentiation (Figure 1D), and both DIGIT and GSC are highly restricted to endoderm compared to other human tissues (Figures S1C-S1E).

We performed single-molecule RNA-fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and found that *DIGIT* transcripts are primarily retained in the nucleus, while *GSC* mRNA transcripts are most abundant in the cytoplasm (Figure 1E). Quantification of this distribution across 50 cells revealed that about 90% of *DIGIT* transcripts are retained in the nucleus compared to 15% of *GSC* transcripts. Nuclear localization of *DIGIT* provides further support that *DIGIT* is not an mRNA, and this analysis also revealed that *DIGIT* and *GSC* are induced in the same cells during differentiation.

DIGIT and GSC are coordinately induced during endoderm differentiation, and we asked whether the proximal enhancer occupied by SMAD3 (Figures 1B and S1F), which is located 5 kb downstream of the DIGIT TSS, regulates DIGIT and GSC activation. We used the CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats) system (Cong et al., 2013) to delete both copies of the enhancer occupied by SMAD3 during hESC differentiation (Figure 1F). hESCs in which this enhancer was deleted maintain expression of the ESC markers OCT4 and NANOG (Figures 1G and 1H) but show a defect in activation of DIGIT and GSC upon endoderm differentiation (Figures 11 and S1G). Furthermore, deletion of the enhancer occupied by SMAD3 was also associated with a significant reduction in mRNA and protein expression of SOX17, FOXA2, and CXCR4 (Figures 1I, 1J, S1G, and S1H), which together identify DE (D'Amour et al., 2005; Green et al., 2011; Loh et al., 2014; Ogawa et al., 2013). Passage-matched hESCs and hESCs containing a GFP expression system (Sim et al., 2016) were used as controls. We used the CRISPR system to insert the components of the GFP expression system into the AAVS1 loci to create a control that had undergone the same manipulations used to create the SMAD3 enhancer deletions. These findings show that the enhancer newly occupied by SMAD3 during endoderm differentiation regulates DIGIT and GSC expression and suggest that depletion of DIGIT may inhibit DE differentiation.

DIGIT Is a Regulator of DE Differentiation

If DIGIT is required for DE differentiation, we would expect depletion of the DIGIT transcript to inhibit expression of genes that mark the DE fate. We used the CRISPR system to create hESC lines with constitutive expression of short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) against DIGIT by inserting the shRNA expression cassette along with a drug resistance cassette into the AAVS1 locus. Knockdown of DIGIT expression resulted in a defect in the induction of SOX17, FOXA2, and CXCR4 after 4 days of differentiation compared to controls (Figure 2A), indicating that DE differentiation is compromised. In addition, depletion of DIGIT using locked nucleic acids (LNAs) demonstrated a similar defect in endoderm differentiation (Figure S2A). The LNA experiments were performed on day 2 of endoderm differentiation using two LNA constructs that targeted DIGIT. Transient transfection with LNAs was less effective in depleting DIGIT compared to stable integration of shRNAs, but still showed that reduction in the DIGIT transcript was associated with decreased expression of SOX17 and FOXA2. CXCR4 expression was not assessed because it is not induced on day 2 of endoderm differentiation.

To further investigate the role of *DIGIT* in DE differentiation, we asked how disruption of the gene encoding *DIGIT* affects differentiation. We used the CRISPR system to insert a sequence encoding GFP followed by a poly(A) signal 44 bp downstream of the *DIGIT* TSS (Figure 2B). This sequence was inserted without deleting endogenous DNA to avoid inadvertently removing regulatory elements that might affect nearby genes. Two drug selection markers were used to identify colonies with GFP-poly(A) insertions in both *DIGIT* alleles. hESC lines were expanded from single colonies and then transfected with a plasmid expressing Cre recombinase to remove the drug resistance cassettes (Figures S2B and S2C). Single cells were sorted and expanded to



Figure 1. *DIGIT* Is Divergently Transcribed from *GSC* and Is Activated by an Enhancer Bound by SMAD3 during Endoderm Differentiation

(A) Schematic showing the identification of *DIGIT* as a candidate IncRNA that regulates endoderm differentiation (diff). ChIP-seq, RNA-seq, and GRO-seq analyses were combined to identify four IncRNAs that were directly targeted by activin signaling, and only one IncRNA had a mouse ortholog.

(B) ChIP-seq was performed to identify sites of SMAD3 occupancy in hESCs and after 48 hr of endoderm differentiation. The x axis represents the linear sequence of genomic DNA, and the y axis represents the relative number of mapped reads. The genomic scale in kilobases (kb) is indicated above the tracks. The site of SMAD3 occupancy is located 5 kb upstream of the *DIGIT* TSS. The SMAD3 site is enriched for H3K27ac (Tsankov et al., 2015; Figure S1F), which marks active enhancers. The locations of *GSC* and *DIGIT* are shown at the bottom of (C).

(C) GRO-seq was analyzed from Sigova et al. (2013) for hESCs (day 0, top) and hESCs differentiated toward endoderm for 48 hr (bottom). Transcription of the Watson (+) strand is indicated in red, and transcription of the Crick (-) strand is indicated in green. Arrows show the direction of transcription. The structure of the *GSC* gene and the predicted structure of the *DIGIT* gene (labeled polyA RNA-seq) are shown below the tracks. *DIGIT* was cloned after RACE-PCR to define the 5' and 3' ends of the *DIGIT* transcript (Figure S1B), and the structure of the gene encoding this transcript is shown in black (labeled cloned). The cloned *DIGIT* transcript is shown for the remainder of the article.

(D) *DIGIT* (red) and GSC expression (green) were analyzed by qRT-PCR in hESCs (Day 0) and for the first 4 days of endoderm differentiation. Fold enrichment is indicated on the y axis, and error bars represent SD.

(E) Single-molecule RNA-FISH was performed for hESCs (Day 0, left) and on day 4 of endoderm differentiation (center). Red probes identify *DIGIT* and green probes identify *GSC* mRNA. Nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue). Each dot represents a transcript, and white arrows indicate two foci of

overlapping dots at sites of transcription (Levesque and Raj, 2013). The percentage of transcripts (y axis) in the nucleus (black) and cytoplasm (white) is shown for DIGIT and GSC (far right).

(F) The positions of two gRNAs flanking the enhancer occupied by SMAD3 (black box) are shown. The TSSs of *DIGIT* (red) and GSC (green) are indicated on the left. Arrows connected by dotted lines indicate the location of PCR primers. Following deletion of the region occupied by SMAD3, the PCR product decreases from 580 bp to 130 bp (bottom). Genomic PCR was performed on two independent hESC lines with deletion of the SMAD3 enhancer (EnDel1 and EnDel2) and is compared to wild-type hESCs.

(G) OCT4 and NANOG are markers of undifferentiated hESCs, while SOX17, FOXA2, and CXCR4 together are markers of DE.

(H) Expression of OCT4 (gray) and NANOG (white) was quantified in two hESC controls (Ctrl and AAVS1) and two SMAD3 enhancer deletions (EnDel1 and EnDel2). Wild-type hESCs are shown as the first control. hESCs that have undergone genome editing to insert a GFP construct into the AAVS1 locus were used as a second control. Tukey's multiple comparison statistical test showed no significant difference between the means of any pair.

(I) hESCs were differentiated toward endoderm for 4 days. RNA levels (y axis) were quantified for the indicated genes (x axis) in the AAVS1 control cells and in hESCs in which the SMAD3 enhancer is deleted (EnDel1). *p < 0.05. Analysis of EnDel2 is shown in Figure S1G.

(J) Flow cytometry was performed to quantify protein expression of SOX17 (x axis), FOXA2, and CXCR4 (y axis) in AAVS1 control hESCs and hESCs containing the SMAD3 enhancer deletion (EnDel1) after 4 days of endoderm differentiation. The percentage of double-positive cells is indicated in the gated areas. The percentage of cells expressing all three markers is quantified for control hESCs and hESCs with the SMAD3 enhancer deletion on the right. *p < 0.05. Analysis of EnDel2 is shown in Figure S1H.

See also Figure S1.



Figure 2. Loss of DIGIT Expression Inhibits DE Differentiation

(A) The CRISPR system was used to insert a vector into the AAVS1 locus that expresses an shRNA targeting LacZ (control KD) or an shRNA targeting DIGIT (DIGIT KD). hESCs lines were established from single colonies. Control and DIGIT KD hESCs were differentiated toward endoderm for 4 days prior to RNA analysis. *p < 0.05. KD, knockdown.

(B) Insertion of a GFP-polyA (pA) sequence into the gene encoding *DIGIT* allows transcription at the *DIGIT* locus while inhbiting production of the *DIGIT* transcript. Genomic PCR was performed (lower right) using wild-type hESCs (Ctrl) and cells with the GFP cassette knocked into both copies of *DIGIT* (gfp/gfp) and (legend continued on next page) establish *DIGIT*-deficient (*DIGIT*^{ofp/gfp}) hESC lines. The insertion of GFP-poly(A) allows activation of transcription of GFP at the *DIGIT* locus during endoderm differentiation but leads to termination at the poly(A) signal, preventing expression of the fulllength *DIGIT* transcript. Analysis of RNA expression by PCR and RNA-FISH demonstrates that *GFP* transcripts are induced during endoderm differentiation (Figures 2C and 2D) and are translated into protein (Figure 2E). The presence of GFP transcripts and the dramatic reduction of *DIGIT* (Figure 2D) indicate that transcription is activated at the *DIGIT* locus with little production of the *DIGIT* transcript.

DIGIT^{gfp/gfp} hESC lines maintain expression of hESC master regulators (Figure S2D) (Boyer et al., 2005). However, these cells show significantly reduced levels of *DIGIT* when differentiated toward endoderm and also demonstrate a defect in the induction of *SOX17*, *FOXA2*, and *CXCR4* mRNA (Figure 2F). Reduced *DIGIT* expression also inhibited activation of *DEANR1*, an IncRNA recently described to regulate *FOXA2* expression (Figure S2E) (Jiang et al., 2015). The primers detecting the *DIGIT* transcript are located downstream of the GFP-poly(A) insertion, and the low level of *DIGIT* still detected in *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} cells (Figure 2F) is likely the result of a low frequency of readthrough of the poly(A) sequence.

hESCs were also analyzed by flow cytometry after 4 days of endoderm differentiation (Figure 2G). Forty-eight percent of wild-type hESCs expressed SOX17, FOXA2, and CXCR4, which together identify DE. In contrast, only 4% and 27% of two independent DIGIT^{gfp/gfp} cell lines co-express the DE markers (Figure 2G, right). DIGIT^{gfp/gfp} hESCs that do differentiate into DE maintain expression of SOX17 and FOXA2 at levels comparable to those of wild-type cells (Figure S2F). Thus, DIGIT appears to regulate the fraction of cells differentiating into DE but not the levels of FOXA2 and SOX17 proteins in DE cells. We also quantified DE by another set of markers and found that reduced expression of DIGIT led to reduced expression of DE (58%-64% reduced to 3%-7%), as guantified by cell co-expression of c-KIT and CXCR4 (Figure S2G) (Green et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2013; Nostro et al., 2011; Ogawa et al., 2013). In contrast to genes that together identify DE, reduced DIGIT levels did not have a significant effect on expression of *SOX7*, a marker of primitive and visceral endoderm (Kanai-Azuma et al., 2002), *PLAT(T-PA)*, a marker of parietal endoderm (Cheng and Grabel, 1997), or *MEOX1*, a marker of mesoderm (Candia et al., 1992) (Figure S2H), suggesting that the activity of *DIGIT* is specific for DE.

We performed RNA-seq to determine the effect of depletion of *DIGIT* on the transcriptome during endoderm differentiation. Compared to wild-type controls, 225 genes were repressed and 45 genes were induced in *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} cells after 4 days of differentiation (Figure 2H; Table S1). Sequencing was performed in duplicate for *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} 1 and *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} 2 cells and compared to control hESCs. The genes that were repressed with the depletion of *DIGIT* tend to be induced in endoderm differentiation compared to differentiation into ectoderm or mesoderm lineages (Figure 2I, top). The small number of genes induced with the loss of *DIGIT* were enriched in neural progenitor cells (NPCs) (Figure 2I, bottom; p < 0.0018).

To assess the role of *DIGIT* in non-directed differentiation (Osafune et al., 2008), we created embryoid bodies (EBs) (Figure S2I) using *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} 1 and *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} 2 hESC lines and one control hESC line matched to the same passage number. EBs were allowed to spontaneously differentiate for 12 days. *OCT4* expression was similar between all groups on day 0 and decreased dramatically by day 12. The endoderm markers *SOX17* and *Albumin* are induced in wild-type cells on day 12, but this induction was inhibited in *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} hESCs (Figure 2J). Expression of *PAX6* and *MEOX1*, which mark ectoderm and mesoderm, respectively, were unchanged between all groups (Figure S2J). Overall, these results reveal that the *DIGIT* transcript is required for both directed and spontaneous differentiation of hESCs toward DE.

DE Differentiation Is Regulated by an Ortholog of *DIGIT* in Mice

Next, we asked whether *DIGIT* is conserved in mammalian development. We identified a 3,002-nt mouse transcript divergent to *Gsc* that was isolated from day-9.5 embryos (*Gm10000*) and annotated to contain a 357-nt open reading

demonstrates loss of the 180-bp wild-type product and insertion of the GFP-pA cassette (1.3 kb). The red line (bottom left) indicates that transcription is terminated after the GFP-pA sequence. Please see Figures S2B and S2C for additional details.

⁽C) GFP mRNA levels (y axis) were quantified in wild-type hESCs (Ctrl) and *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} (gfp/gfp) hESCs prior to endoderm differentiation (d0) and after 4 days of differentiation (d4). *p < 0.05.

⁽D) RNA-FISH was performed after 4 days of endoderm differentiation for wild-type hESCs (Ctrl, top) and *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} hESCs (bottom). Cells were probed for RNA encoding *GFP* (green) and *DIGIT* (red). Nuclei were stained with Hoechst (blue).

⁽E) GFP expression was quantified by flow cytometry in $DIGIT^{ofp/gfp}$ hESCs prior to endoderm differentiation (Day 0, top) and after 4 days of differentiation (Day 4, bottom). Green fluorescence is shown on the y axis, and forward scatter (FSC) is shown on the x axis. The percentage of GFP⁺ cells in each condition is indicated. (F) Wild-type hESCs (Ctrl) and two independently derived $DIGIT^{ofp/gfp}$ lines were differentiated toward endoderm for 4 days prior to RNA analysis. RNA levels (y axis) are shown for the indicated genes (x axis). *p < 0.05.

⁽G) Wild-type (Control), $DIGIT^{gfp/gfp}$ 1, and $DIGIT^{gfp/gfp}$ 2 cells were differentiated toward endoderm for 4 days prior to analysis by flow cytometry. The percentage of double-positive cells is indicated for each condition. The percentage of cells expressing all three endoderm markers is shown on the far right. *p < 0.05.

⁽H) Wild-type hESCs, *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} 1 and *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} 2 hESCs were differentiated toward endoderm for 4 days prior to preparation of RNA-seq libraries. Two replicates for each condition were analyzed. Heatmaps display genes that change in expression by at least 2-fold (false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.05).

⁽I) RNA-seq data were analyzed to quantify expression of the genes repressed (top) and activated (bottom) with loss of *DIGIT* expression (Figure 2H). RNA-seq datasets from hESCs, hESCs undergoing endoderm differentiation, hESCs differentiated toward cardiomyocytes, and hESCs differentiated toward neural progenitor cells (NPCs) are displayed (Jiang et al., 2015; Loh et al., 2014; Palpant et al., 2015; Schwartz et al., 2015).

⁽J) hESCs were harvested after EB formation (day 0) and 12 days later. RNA levels (y axis) were quantified for OC74 (marker of pluripotency) and for SOX17 and Albumin (markers of endoderm). hESCs that underwent CRISPR targeting to insert a GFP cassette into the AAVS1 locus were used as controls. *p < 0.05. See also Figure S2.



Figure 3. An Ortholog of DIGIT Is Expressed in Mouse and Regulates DE Differentiation

(A) Digit (Gm10000) is divergent to Gsc in mouse. The 5' and 3' ends of the Digit transcript were defined by RACE-PCR, and the sequences of the 5' and 3' ends of the transcript are shown below. The full-length transcript cloned from differentiating mESCs using poly(A) RNA is shown in black.
(B) Digit levels were quantified in mESCs (day 0) and mESCs that were differentiated toward endoderm for 5 days.

(C) BLAST alignment of the sequences from the human and mouse *DIGIT* orthologs was performed. Yellow areas mark the region of homology in which 294 of 335 nt in *DIGIT* are conserved with *Digit*.

frame (ORF). We performed RACE-PCR and then cloned the fulllength IncRNA from poly(A) RNA harvested from mouse ESCs (mESCs) that were differentiated toward endoderm for 5 days. This analysis confirmed a single exon transcript that contained a 5' cap and 3' poly(A) signal (Figure 3A; the full sequence is contained in Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

It was unclear whether this transcript was induced during endoderm differentiation, whether it was a coding or noncoding transcript, or whether it shared conserved function with DIGIT. We found that mouse Digit was induced after 5 days of endoderm differentiation compared to mESCs (day 0) (Figure 3B). Similar to human transcripts, mouse Digit and Gsc are also highly restricted in expression to endoderm compared to other tissues (Figures S3A and S3B). Analysis of nucleic acid sequence conservation between the human and mouse orthologs also revealed a 335-nt sequence with 88% identity (Figure 3C). These results show that both DIGIT and GSC are induced during endoderm differentiation of hESCs and mESCs. We then isolated RNA from mouse embryos from embryonic day (E) 5.5 to E8.5 (Figure S3C) to quantify Digit and Gsc expression during in vivo development. RT-PCR results show that both Digit and Gsc are induced on E6.5, which corresponds to the formation of the anterior primitive streak (Lawson et al., 1991). The highest levels of Digit expression are reached on E7.5, corresponding to the formation of DE (Tam and Beddington, 1987), and continue to be expressed on E8.5 (Figure 3D).

We then asked whether the Digit transcript encoded a protein. First, we prepared nuclear and cytoplasmic extracts from mESCs differentiated toward endoderm for 5 days and found that Digit was retained in the nucleus (Figure 3E), which suggests that Digit is not an mRNA, despite containing an annotated ORF. Next, we assessed the coding potency of the annotated ORF. CPAT (Coding Potential Assessment Tool) analysis (Wang et al., 2013) provided further evidence that Digit is not a protein-coding transcript (p < 0.08). Finally, we tried to detect protein encoded by the annotated ORF. We generated a transgene in which a sequence encoding a hemagglutinin (HA) tag was inserted in frame within the annotated ORF immediately upstream of the annotated stop codon. As a control, a transgene was created in which a sequence encoding an HA tag was inserted at the 3' end of mouse hemoglobin (Hb) cDNA immediately upstream of the stop codon. The Hb cDNA was chosen because it encodes a protein of similar molecular weight to that predicted for *Digit*. Ectopic expression of these transgenes in HEK293T cells resulted in the production of RNA for both transgenes (Figure S3D), but western blot analysis detected the HA tag only in cells expressing the *Hb* transgene and not in cells expressing the *Digit* transgene (Figure 3F). It is possible that HEK293T cells may not express all the factors necessary to promote translation of a mouse transcript, so we transfected mESCs with the same constructs. We could detect the Hb-HA protein by immunofluorescence (IF) microscopy but not the digit-HA product (Figure 3G). These results establish *Digit* as an IncRNA that shares a conserved genomic location and pattern of expression with *DIGIT*.

We applied the GFP-poly(A) knockin strategy previously used for premature termination of *DIGIT* transcription in hESCs to determine whether *Digit* is required for DE differentiation in mESCs (Figure S3E). Mouse *Digit* was also required for DE differentiation, as *Digit*^{dfp/gfp} mESCs were deficient in induction of Sox17, Foxa2, and Cxcr4 compared to controls (Figures 3H and 3I). Thus, *DIGIT* is an IncRNA with conserved function in differentiation of mammalian ESCs.

DIGIT Contributes to DE Differentiation through Regulation of GSC Expression

Divergent IncRNAs can positively and negatively regulate neighboring protein-coding genes (Guil and Esteller, 2012), and we asked whether *GSC* expression is dependent on *DIGIT*. We quantified the expression of *GSC* in hESCs during endoderm differentiation with the depletion of *DIGIT* by shRNAs and LNAs and found that the depletion of *DIGIT* was also associated with reduced *GSC* expression (Figure 4A, left; Figure S4A). Furthermore, *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} hESCs (Figure 4A, right) and *Digit*^{gfp/gfp} mESCs (Figure S4B) also showed reduced induction of *GSC* during endoderm differentiation.

GSC is a homeobox gene induced by activin/Nodal signaling in early gastrulation in *Xenopus* and the primitive streak in mammals (Blum et al., 1992; Cho et al., 1991), but its role in hESC differentiation is not fully understood. We used an shRNA to deplete GSC (Figure 4B) and determine how depletion of GSC affected differentiation of DE. Depletion of GSC expression inhibited SOX17, as previously described (Kalisz et al., 2012), as well as FOXA2 and CXCR4, which together mark DE. The results

See also Figure S3.

⁽D) RNA was extracted from mouse embryos at the indicated developmental stage. PCR was performed with (+) and without (-) reverse transcription (RT) to detect Gsc and Digit. ActB was used as a loading control. A water blank, serving as a negative control, is on the far right.

⁽E) RNA was extracted from nuclear (gray) and cytoplasmic fractions (white) on day 5 of differentiation. *Digit* expression in each fraction was quantified in comparison to *Gapdh* mRNA.

⁽F) *Digit* is annotated with a 357-nt ORF. A sequence encoding hemagglutinin (HA) was inserted in frame in the annotated *Digit* and hemoglobin (Hb) ORFs. Plasmids containing the HA-tagged transgenes were transiently transfected into HEK293T cells. HA expression was quantified by western blot. The *Digit* product is predicted to be 17 kDa. ACTIN is shown as a loading control. RNA levels of *Digit* and *Hb* were comparable after transfection (Figure S3D).

⁽G) Plasmids encoding *Digit*-HA and *Hb*-HA were transfected into mESCs 48 hr prior to analysis by IF microscopy. HA is shown in red, and nuclear staining is shown in blue (Hoechst).

⁽H) Wild-type (control mESC) and *Digit*^{of/gfp} mESCs were differentiated toward endoderm for 5 days prior to analysis by IF microscopy. Images show nuclear staining (Hoechst, top) and expression of Foxa2 (middle) and Sox17 (bottom). *Digit*^{of/gfp} mESCs were created by inserting a GFP-pA sequence to disrupt *Digit* transcription, and these experiments were performed before drug selection markers were removed (Figure S3E). The scale bars represent 200 μm.

⁽I) Wild-type mESCs (Ctrl) and two independently derived *Digit*^{gfp/gfp} lines were differentiated toward endoderm for 5 days prior to RNA analysis. RNA levels (y axis) are shown for the indicated genes (x axis). These experiments were performed after transient transfection with a plasmid expressing Cre recombinase to remove the drug resistance cassettes. *p < 0.05.



Figure 4. *DIGIT* Regulates DE Differentiation through Control of GSC in hESCs

(A) GSC mRNA levels were quantified in hESCs expressing shRNA targeting *GFP* (Ctrl shRNA) and shRNA targeting *DIGIT* (*DIGIT* shRNA) after 4 days of endoderm differentiation (left). *DIGIT* expression is shown in Figure 2A. GSC mRNA levels were quantified in *DIGIT*^{afp/gfp} 1 and *DIGIT*^{afp/gfp} 2 hESC lines and compared to expression in wild-type hESCs after 4 days of differentiation (right). *DIGIT* expression is shown in Figure 2F. *p < 0.05.

(B) RNA levels were quantified for the indicated genes after 4 days of endoderm differentiation in hESCs containing an shRNA recognizing *LacZ* inserted into the *AAVS1* locus (Ctrl shRNA) and hESCs containing an shRNA targeting GSC inserted into the *AAVS1* locus (GSC shRNA). *p < 0.05. (C) The location of the gRNA used to induce GSC expression with dCas9-VPR.

suggest that *GSC* is required for formation of DE during hESC differentiation.

The *DIGIT*^{gfp/gfp} Phenotype Is Rescued by Induction of Endogenous GSC

If DIGIT contributes to DE differentiation by regulating GSC, then induction of GSC in DIGIT-deficient hESCs should rescue the defect observed during endoderm differentiation. We first transfected hESCs with a plasmid encoding a dead Cas9 fused to the VP64-P65-Rta transcription activation domain (dCas9-VPR) (Chavez et al., 2015) and a plasmid encoding a guide RNA (gRNA) that directs dCas9-VPR to the GSC promoter (Figure 4C) to determine whether recruiting dCas9-VPR to the GSC promoter could activate GSC expression. hESCs transfected with both plasmids showed a nearly 200-fold induction of GSC after 48 hr (Figure 4D, left). dCas9-VPR was directed to bind 102 bp upstream of the GSC TSS, but this was also 376 bp upstream of the DIGIT TSS (Figure 4C), and targeting dCas9-VPR to this location also increased DIGIT expression, but to a lower extent (Figure 4D, right). These results showed that dCas9-VPR primarily activated GSC but also increased the expression of DIGIT. DIGIT^{gfp/gfp} hESCs rely on a poly(A) signal to terminate transcription and prevent production of DIGIT. We were concerned that increased transcription at the DIGIT locus in DIGIT^{gfp/gfp} cells would lead to a proportional increase in the small number of transcripts reading through the poly(A) and complicate interpretation of the experiment. To avoid this effect, we used the CRISPR system to generate hESC lines with homozygous deletion of the second exon of the DIGIT (Figures 4E and S4C). We then confirmed that these DIGIT^{-/-} hESCs do not express DIGIT during endoderm differentiation (Figure S4D) and are also deficient in DE differentiation (Figure 4F). Next, we transiently transfected DIGIT^{-/-} hESCs with plasmids expressing dCas9-VPR and GSC gRNA. We cultured cells in hESCs media for 2 days to allow expression of GSC prior to induction of differentiation. Because these were transient transfections, we analyzed gene expression after 2 days of endoderm differentiation and found that the DE markers SOX17 and FOXA2 were induced in DIGIT-/- cells with activation of GSC (Figure 4G). We repeated the experiments in DIGIT^{gfp/gfp} hESCs with the same results (Figures S4E and

S4F). These findings demonstrate that activation of endogenous *GSC* can rescue the defect in DE differentiation created by the loss of *DIGIT* expression and suggest that *DIGIT* controls DE differentiation of hESCs, at least in part, through regulation of *GSC*.

DIGIT Regulates GSC in Trans

Depletion of DIGIT by shRNAs, LNAs, and the insertion of poly(A) termination sequences shows that it is the DIGIT transcript and not transcription at the DIGIT locus that is required to regulate GSC expression. To provide further evidence that the DIGIT transcript regulates GSC expression, we asked whether ectopic expression of DIGIT could induce GSC expression in DIGITdeficient cells. First, we transfected hESCs with a plasmid expressing DIGIT behind a PGK promoter and performed RNA-FISH to confirm that DIGIT expressed from the plasmid is localized to the nucleus (Figure 4H). Next, we transiently transfected DIGIT^{-/-} hESCs with a plasmid expressing DIGIT or a scrambled DIGIT sequence along with GFP. One day after transfection, hESCs were differentiated toward endoderm, and GFP+ cells were sorted after 2 days of differentiation. We found that *DIGIT^{-/-}* hESCs expressing ectopic *DIGIT* (Figure 4I, left) showed increased expression of GSC as well as FOXA2 and SOX17 (Figure 4I, right). DIGIT^{-/-} cells were used for this experiment instead of DIGIT^{gfp/gfp} hESCs because they did not already express GFP, and expression analysis was performed on day 2 of differentiation because these were transient transfections. CXCR4 expression was not assessed, as it is not induced on day 2 of endoderm differentiation. These results show that DIGIT does not need to be transcribed adjacent to GSC to promote GSC expression and provides further evidence that DIGIT requlates GSC expression.

The results from ectopic expression of *DIGIT* suggest that the *DIGIT* transcript may function in *trans* to regulate *GSC*. To further evaluate this possibility, we created *DIGIT* heterozygous (^{+/gfp}) hESCs and asked whether *GSC* was expressed from both alleles or only the allele adjacent to the wild-type copy of *DIGIT*. We performed RNA-FISH to analyze *GSC* expression in wild-type and *DIGIT*^{+/gfp} hESCs undergoing endoderm differentiation (Figure 4J). Sites of *GSC* transcription are identified by bright foci of *GSC* RNA (Figure 1E) (Levesque and Raj, 2013) and can be

See also Figure S4.

⁽D) hESCs were transfected with a plasmid expressing the gRNA (in C) or a control gRNA recognizing LacZ along with a second plasmid expressing dCas9-VPR. GSC (left) and DIGIT expression (right) was quantified by qRT-PCR after 48 hr. *p < 0.05.

⁽E) The positions of two gRNAs flanking the second exon of DIGIT and used to create $DIGIT^{-/-}$ hESCs are shown.

⁽F) Control (gray) and $DIGIT^{-/-}$ hESCs (white) were differentiated toward endoderm for 4 days, and DE differentiation is quantified by co-expression of FOXA2, SOX17, and CXCR4 by flow cytometry (left). Control and $DIGIT^{-/-}$ hESCs were differentiated toward endoderm for 2 days prior to analysis of RNA expression (right). *p < 0.05.

⁽G) *DIGIT^{-/-}* hESCs were transiently transfected with dCas9-VPR and the gRNA targeting the GSC promoter (white) or a *LacZ* gRNA, which does not target the GSC promoter (gray). hESCs were maintained in mTeSR1 for 2 days and then differentiated toward endoderm for 2 days before analysis of RNA expression. *p < 0.05.

⁽H) hESCs were transfected with a plasmid encoding *DIGIT*. RNA-FISH was performed after 2 days and shows nuclear localization (Hoechst, blue) of *DIGIT* (red) with transfection.

⁽I) *DIGIT^{-/-}* hESCs were transfected with a plasmid expressing GFP and either *DIGIT* or a scrambled sequence of *DIGIT* (Scramble). hESCs were transfected, and GFP⁺ cells were sorted after 2 days of endoderm differentiation. RNA expression was analyzed for the indicated genes. *p < 0.05.

⁽J) RNA-FISH was performed after 4 days of endoderm differentiation for wild-type hESCs (control) and $DIGIT^{+/gfp}$ hESCs (DIGIT het). Cells were probed for RNA encoding GSC. The transcription sites of GSC were counted in nuclei with either single or double sites of transcription for both wild-type and $DIGIT^{+/gfp}$ hESCs. Fisher's exact test showed no significant difference between the ratio of single to double transcription sites between the two genotypes (p value = 1).

⁽K) RNA-FISH was performed after 4 days of endoderm differentiation for $DIGIT^{+/gfp}$ hESCs. Cells were probed for RNA encoding GSC (green) and DIGIT (red).

⁽n) RNA-FISH was performed after 4 days of endoderm differentiation for *DiGIT* ** HESCS. Cells were probed for RNA encoding GSC (green) and *DiGIT* (red). Nuclei were stained with Hoechst (blue).

observed at one or two sites in differentiating cells. The distributions of cells demonstrating one or two foci to mark active *GSC* transcription are not statistically different between wild-type and $DIGIT^{+/gfp}$ cells, and $DIGIT^{+/gfp}$ cells show a similar frequency of cells expressing *GSC* from two alleles compared to wild-type cells (Figure 4J). These results suggest that transcription can occur at both *GSC* alleles, even when one allele is no longer producing DIGIT (Figure 4K). Together, the results from the ectopic expression of DIGIT in $DIGIT^{-/-}$ cells and the expression of *GSC* from both alleles in DIGIT heterozygous cells suggest that the DIGIT transcript can function in *trans* to regulate *GSC* expression.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we performed genome-wide analysis of SMAD3 occupancy to identify enhancers targeted by activin signaling during endoderm differentiation. This approach, combined with previous analysis of transcriptional responses (Sigova et al., 2013), allowed us to identify DIGIT out of over 1,000 IncRNAs induced during endoderm differentiation. Deletion of the SMAD3-occupied enhancer proximal to DIGIT showed a profound reduction in DIGIT and GSC expression and inhibition of DE differentiation. These results show that the enhancer is required for normal activation of DIGIT and GSC, but targeted disruption of SMAD3 binding elements within this enhancer will be required to determine whether SMAD3 occupancy is required for activation. Our analysis defined 252 sites activated for SMAD3 binding within 48 hr of endoderm differentiation, and it is likely that investigation of these sites will identify additional enhancers that are also required for endoderm differentiation. Applying this concept more broadly suggests that focusing on IncRNAs that are direct targets of the signaling pathways that control differentiation will identify the IncRNAs that are likely to regulate development.

DIGIT is expressed divergently from *GSC* and is coordinately induced with *GSC* during endoderm differentiation when analyzed at the population level by RNA expression (Figure 1D) (Sigova et al., 2013), and expression of both genes are highly restricted to endoderm differentiation (Figures S1C–S1E, S3A, and S3B). We also observe both *DIGIT* and *GSC* transcripts in the same cells during differentiation (Figure 1E). In other cell types, divergent genes are not always associated with co-expression (Cabili et al., 2015). This coordinated induction of divergent IncRNA and coding gene pairs may be more common with activation of loci during differentiation (Lepoivre et al., 2013; Ponjavic et al., 2009; Sigova et al., 2013) where sets of genes are turned on in a coordinated fashion to regulate changes in cell identity and may be less fixed in cells maintaining homeostasis.

Not only is *DIGIT* divergently transcribed from the gene encoding *GSC*, but it also regulates *GSC* expression. Depletion of the *DIGIT* transcript inhibited induction of *GSC* during endoderm differentiation of both hESCs and mouse ESCs (Figures 4A, S4A, and S4B), and ectopic expression of *DIGIT* in *DIGIT*^{-/-} cells was also sufficient to induce *GSC* expression (Figure 4I). These findings, coupled with the observation that *GSC* can be expressed from both alleles in *DIGIT* heterozygous cells, show that *DIGIT* regulates *GSC* in *trans* rather than by being transcribed in close proximity to *GSC*.

GSC is a homeobox gene that is induced in early gastrulation with the formation of the dorsal lip of the blastopore in Xenopus and the primitive streak in mammals and is activated as a target of activin/Nodal signaling (Blum et al., 1992; Cho et al., 1991). Surprisingly, $GSC^{-/-}$ mice do not show a defect in gastrulation and survive to gestation with craniofacial defects (Rivera-Pérez et al., 1995). Loss of GSC expression in hESCs was previously shown to be associated with the significant reduction of SOX17 (Kalisz et al., 2012), which is expressed in both definitive and visceral endoderm (Shimoda et al., 2007). By quantifying the effects of GSC on additional genes, our results provide more convincing data that GSC is required for DE differentiation of hESCs. Thus, while loss of GSC does not appear to be required for in vivo gastrulation in mice, we demonstrate that GSC is required for DE of ESCs. Furthermore, the defect in DE differentiation that occurs with loss of DIGIT expression can be rescued by the induction of GSC (Figure 4G), showing that DIGIT controls DE differentiation by promoting expression of GSC. Further experiments will be required to determine the function of Digit during in vivo development.

There are increasing examples of IncRNAs that positively regulate proximal protein-coding genes, including those divergently transcribed from developmental genes (Arnes et al., 2016; Herriges et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2016), making it necessary to have genomic tools to disrupt IncRNAs without genomic deletions that could affect the regulatory elements of neighboring genes. We have established a method for efficient loss of IncRNA function via insertion of a GFP-poly(A) sequence into the IncRNA gene. Whether transcription initiation at divergently transcribed genes is mediated through bidirectional or adjacent unidirectional promoters (Core et al., 2014; Duttke et al., 2015; Grzechnik et al., 2014), the ability to allow transcription initiation and elongation at the endogenous IncRNA TSS while preventing production of the IncRNA transcript provides an essential tool to dissect IncRNA function. This system can be applied to many divergently transcribed IncRNAs or those in close proximity to protein-coding genes and allows preservation of transcriptional activation at the IncRNA locus while preventing production of the IncRNA product. It also avoids the need to delete any endogenous sequences that might act as a regulatory element of nearby genes. This approach has led to the identification of DIGIT as a key regulator of human and mouse DE differentiation and can be applied to investigate the function of IncRNAs in any developmental system.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

ESC Culture and Differentiation

hESCs were cultured and differentiated as previously described (Sigova et al., 2013). mESCs were cultured on irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and differentiated with 100 ng/mL activin A. Please see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for additional details.

Sequencing Analysis

ChIP-seq libraries were prepared and analyzed as described previously (Mullen et al., 2011). GRO-seq (Sigova et al., 2013) analysis was performed using HTseq (Anders et al., 2015) and DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). RNA-seq analysis of wild-type and *DIGIT*^{ofp/gfp} hESCs and comparison to other datasets of hESC differentiation were performed using HTseq and DESeq2. Please see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for additional details.

Genome Editing

Genome editing was performed by transfecting cells with the px330 plasmid (Cong et al., 2013) containing the indicated gRNAs along with the indicated homology plasmids. The sequences of all gRNAs are listed in Table S2. Please see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for additional information, including maps of the homology plasmids.

RNA In Situ Hybridization

Custom Stellaris FISH probes (Biosearch Technologies) were designed against *DIGIT*, GSC, and *GFP* using the Stellaris FISH Probe Designer (www.biosearchtech.com/stellarisdesigner) (Table S2). The samples were hybridized with Stellaris FISH Probe sets labeled with Quasar 570 or Quasar 670.

Cell Sorting and Flow Cytometry Analysis

hESCs and differentiated cells were separated into single cells by Accutase treatment prior to cell sorting using the FACSAria II (BD Biosciences) or flow cytometry using the Accuri C6 (BD Biosciences). Antibodies used for FACS were as follows: SOX17-PerCP (BD Biosciences, 562387), FOXA2-PE antibody (BD Biosciences, 561589), and CXCR4-APC antibody (R&D Systems, FAB173A). Please see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for additional details.

Analysis of RNA Expression in Developing Embryos

Female CD1 mice were mated with male CD1 mice and checked daily for vaginal plugs indicating E0. Pregnant mice were euthanized 5–8 days later, and embryos were dissected, carefully staged, and imaged (stages are indicated in Figure S3C).

Total RNA was isolated using the Roche High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche, 11828665001), following the manufacturer's protocol with the addition of a second DNase treatment following the first wash. cDNA synthesis was performed using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, 18064014) with both oligo(dT) primers (Promega C1181) and random primers (Promega C1101). RT-PCR was performed though 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. Products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gel.

IF Microscopy

IF was performed using the following antibodies: FoxA2 (Abcam, ab40874), Sox17 (R&D, AF1924), and HA (Abcam, ab9110). Please see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for additional details.

Induction of Endogenous GSC Expression

A plasmid expressing dCas9-VPR (Chavez et al., 2015) was transiently transfected along with a plasmid expressing either a gRNA specific to *LacZ* or a gRNA specific to a promoter of GSC. Cells were maintained in mTESR1 for 48 hr after transfection and were then differentiated for an additional 48 hr.

Previously Published RNA Datasets Used in This Study

Human tissue datasets from GTEx (GTEx Consortium, 2013) were obtained through dbGAP (Table S3). Additional datasets of differentiating hESCs were obtained from GSE63935, GSE52657, and GSE44875. GRO-seq data for hESCs and endoderm differentiation were obtained from GSE41009. Mouse tissue datasets were obtained from GSE36025, and datasets for differentiating mESCs were from GSE36114.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The accession number for the RNA-seq data for differentiation of *DIGIT^{gfp/gfp}* hESCs and the ChIP-seq data for SMAD3 reported in this paper is GEO: GSE75297.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, four figures, and three supplemental tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.09.017.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

K.D. and A.C.M. conceived the study and designed the experiments. K.D., J.V.P., B.-M.K., S.R.Y., J.A.M., A.A., B.T., C.M., K.D.T., J.M., and M.Y.C. performed and analyzed the experiments. C.Z. and J.V.P. performed the computational analysis. K.D. and A.C.M. wrote the manuscript, with input from A.A.S.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the MGH/HSCI Flow Cytometry Core Facility and Meredith Weglarz for technical support; the MGH/PBM microscopy core, Biosearch Technologies, and Marc Beal for assistance with RNA-FISH; and Kate Jeffrey, Konrad Hochedlinger, Igor Ulitsky, Cosmas Giallourakis, Abid Khan, and Jennifer Chen for helpful discussion. This work was supported by NIH grants DK090122 and DK104009 and MGH startup funds (A.C.M.).

Received: December 3, 2015 Revised: August 12, 2016 Accepted: September 3, 2016 Published: October 4, 2016

REFERENCES

Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq–a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics *31*, 166–169.

Arnes, L., Akerman, I., Balderes, D.A., Ferrer, J., and Sussel, L. (2016). β linc1 encodes a long noncoding RNA that regulates islet β -cell formation and function. Genes Dev. 30, 502–507.

Blum, M., Gaunt, S.J., Cho, K.W., Steinbeisser, H., Blumberg, B., Bittner, D., and De Robertis, E.M. (1992). Gastrulation in the mouse: the role of the homeobox gene goosecoid. Cell *6*9, 1097–1106.

Boyer, L.A., Lee, T.I., Cole, M.F., Johnstone, S.E., Levine, S.S., Zucker, J.P., Guenther, M.G., Kumar, R.M., Murray, H.L., Jenner, R.G., et al. (2005). Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human embryonic stem cells. Cell *122*, 947–956.

Brown, S., Teo, A., Pauklin, S., Hannan, N., Cho, C.H.-H., Lim, B., Vardy, L., Dunn, N.R., Trotter, M., Pedersen, R., and Vallier, L. (2011). Activin/Nodal signaling controls divergent transcriptional networks in human embryonic stem cells and in endoderm progenitors. Stem Cells *29*, 1176–1185.

Cabili, M.N., Dunagin, M.C., McClanahan, P.D., Biaesch, A., Padovan-Merhar, O., Regev, A., Rinn, J.L., and Raj, A. (2015). Localization and abundance analysis of human IncRNAs at single-cell and single-molecule resolution. Genome Biol. *16*, 20.

Candia, A.F., Hu, J., Crosby, J., Lalley, P.A., Noden, D., Nadeau, J.H., and Wright, C.V. (1992). Mox-1 and Mox-2 define a novel homeobox gene subfamily and are differentially expressed during early mesodermal patterning in mouse embryos. Development *116*, 1123–1136.

Chavez, A., Scheiman, J., Vora, S., Pruitt, B.W., Tuttle, M., Iyer, E., Lin, S., Kiani, S., Guzman, C.D., Wiegand, D.J., et al. (2015). Highly efficient Cas9mediated transcriptional programming. Nat. Methods *12*, 326–328.

Cheng, L., and Grabel, L.B. (1997). The involvement of tissue-type plasminogen activator in parietal endoderm outgrowth. Exp. Cell Res. 230, 187–196.

Cho, K.W., Blumberg, B., Steinbeisser, H., and De Robertis, E.M. (1991). Molecular nature of Spemann's organizer: the role of the Xenopus homeobox gene goosecoid. Cell *67*, 1111–1120.

Cong, L., Ran, F.A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., Hsu, P.D., Wu, X., Jiang, W., Marraffini, L.A., and Zhang, F. (2013). Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science 339, 819–823.

Core, L.J., Martins, A.L., Danko, C.G., Waters, C.T., Siepel, A., and Lis, J.T. (2014). Analysis of nascent RNA identifies a unified architecture of initiation regions at mammalian promoters and enhancers. Nat. Genet. *46*, 1311–1320.

D'Amour, K.A., Agulnick, A.D., Eliazer, S., Kelly, O.G., Kroon, E., and Baetge, E.E. (2005). Efficient differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to definitive endoderm. Nat. Biotechnol. *23*, 1534–1541.

Derrien, T., Johnson, R., Bussotti, G., Tanzer, A., Djebali, S., Tilgner, H., Guernec, G., Martin, D., Merkel, A., Knowles, D.G., et al. (2012). The GENCODE v7 catalog of human long noncoding RNAs: analysis of their gene structure, evolution, and expression. Genome Res. 22, 1775–1789.

Duttke, S.H.C., Lacadie, S.A., Ibrahim, M.M., Glass, C.K., Corcoran, D.L., Benner, C., Heinz, S., Kadonaga, J.T., and Ohler, U. (2015). Human promoters are intrinsically directional. Mol. Cell *57*, 674–684.

Giresi, P.G., Kim, J., Mcdaniell, R.M., Giresi, P.G., Kim, J., Mcdaniell, R.M., lyer, V.R., and Lieb, J.D. (2007). FAIRE (Formaldehyde-Assisted Isolation of Regulatory Elements) isolates active regulatory elements from human chromatin. Genome Res. *17*, 877–885.

Gong, C., Li, Z., Ramanujan, K., Clay, I., Zhang, Y., Lemire-Brachat, S., and Glass, D.J. (2015). A long non-coding RNA, LncMyoD, regulates skeletal muscle differentiation by blocking IMP2-mediated mRNA translation. Dev. Cell *34*, 181–191.

Green, M.D., Chen, A., Nostro, M.-C., d'Souza, S.L., Schaniel, C., Lemischka, I.R., Gouon-Evans, V., Keller, G., and Snoeck, H.-W. (2011). Generation of anterior foregut endoderm from human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells. Nat. Biotechnol. *29*, 267–272.

Grote, P., Wittler, L., Hendrix, D., Koch, F., Währisch, S., Beisaw, A., Macura, K., Bläss, G., Kellis, M., Werber, M., and Herrmann, B.G. (2013). The tissuespecific IncRNA Fendrr is an essential regulator of heart and body wall development in the mouse. Dev. Cell *24*, 206–214.

Grzechnik, P., Tan-Wong, S.M., and Proudfoot, N.J. (2014). Terminate and make a loop: regulation of transcriptional directionality. Trends Biochem. Sci. *39*, 319–327.

GTEx Consortium (2013). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. Nat. Genet. 45, 580–585.

Guil, S., and Esteller, M. (2012). Cis-acting noncoding RNAs: friends and foes. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *19*, 1068–1075.

Guttman, M., Amit, I., Garber, M., French, C., Lin, M.F., Feldser, D., Huarte, M., Zuk, O., Carey, B.W., Cassady, J.P., et al. (2009). Chromatin signature reveals over a thousand highly conserved large non-coding RNAs in mammals. Nature 458, 223–227.

Herriges, M.J., Swarr, D.T., Morley, M.P., Rathi, K.S., Peng, T., Stewart, K.M., and Morrisey, E.E. (2014). Long noncoding RNAs are spatially correlated with transcription factors and regulate lung development. Genes Dev. *28*, 1363–1379.

Jiang, W., Zhang, D., Bursac, N., and Zhang, Y. (2013). WNT3 is a biomarker capable of predicting the definitive endoderm differentiation potential of hESCs. Stem Cell Reports *1*, 46–52.

Jiang, W., Liu, Y., Liu, R., Zhang, K., and Zhang, Y. (2015). The IncRNA DEANR1 facilitates human endoderm differentiation by activating FOXA2 expression. Cell Rep. *11*, 137–148.

Kalisz, M., Winzi, M., Bisgaard, H.C., and Serup, P. (2012). EVEN-SKIPPED HOMEOBOX 1 controls human ES cell differentiation by directly repressing GOOSECOID expression. Dev. Biol. *362*, 94–103.

Kanai-Azuma, M., Kanai, Y., Gad, J.M., Tajima, Y., Taya, C., Kurohmaru, M., Sanai, Y., Yonekawa, H., Yazaki, K., Tam, P.P.L., and Hayashi, Y. (2002). Depletion of definitive gut endoderm in Sox17-null mutant mice. Development *129*, 2367–2379.

Kim, S.W., Yoon, S.-J., Chuong, E., Oyolu, C., Wills, A.E., Gupta, R., and Baker, J. (2011). Chromatin and transcriptional signatures for Nodal signaling during endoderm formation in hESCs. Dev. Biol. *357*, 492–504.

Klattenhoff, C.A., Scheuermann, J.C., Surface, L.E., Bradley, R.K., Fields, P.A., Steinhauser, M.L., Ding, H., Butty, V.L., Torrey, L., Haas, S., et al. (2013). Braveheart, a long noncoding RNA required for cardiovascular lineage commitment. Cell 152, 570-583.

Kretz, M., Webster, D.E., Flockhart, R.J., Lee, C.S., Zehnder, A., Lopez-Pajares, V., Qu, K., Zheng, G.X.Y., Chow, J., Kim, G.E., et al. (2012). Suppression of progenitor differentiation requires the long noncoding RNA ANCR. Genes Dev. 26, 338–343.

Kubo, A., Shinozaki, K., Shannon, J.M., Kouskoff, V., Kennedy, M., Woo, S., Fehling, H.J., and Keller, G. (2004). Development of definitive endoderm from embryonic stem cells in culture. Development *131*, 1651–1662.

Lawson, K.A., Meneses, J.J., and Pedersen, R.A. (1991). Clonal analysis of epiblast fate during germ layer formation in the mouse embryo. Development *113*, 891–911.

Lepoivre, C., Belhocine, M., Bergon, A., Griffon, A., Yammine, M., Vanhille, L., Zacarias-Cabeza, J., Garibal, M.-A., Koch, F., Maqbool, M.A., et al. (2013). Divergent transcription is associated with promoters of transcriptional regulators. BMC Genomics *14*, 914.

Levesque, M.J., and Raj, A. (2013). Single-chromosome transcriptional profiling reveals chromosomal gene expression regulation. Nat. Methods *10*, 246–248.

Loh, K.M., Ang, L.T., Zhang, J., Kumar, V., Ang, J., Auyeong, J.Q., Lee, K.L., Choo, S.H., Lim, C.Y.Y., Nichane, M., et al. (2014). Efficient endoderm induction from human pluripotent stem cells by logically directing signals controlling lineage bifurcations. Cell Stem Cell *14*, 237–252.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. *15*, 550.

Luo, S., Lu, J.Y., Liu, L., Yin, Y., Chen, C., Han, X., Wu, B., Xu, R., Liu, W., Yan, P., et al. (2016). Divergent IncRNAs regulate gene expression and lineage differentiation in pluripotent cells. Cell Stem Cell *18*, 637–652.

Massagué, J., Seoane, J., and Wotton, D. (2005). Smad transcription factors. Genes Dev. 19, 2783–2810.

Mullen, A.C., Orlando, D.A., Newman, J.J., Lovén, J., Kumar, R.M., Bilodeau, S., Reddy, J., Guenther, M.G., DeKoter, R.P., and Young, R.A. (2011). Master transcription factors determine cell-type-specific responses to TGF- β signaling. Cell *147*, 565–576.

Nostro, M.C., Sarangi, F., Ogawa, S., Holtzinger, A., Corneo, B., Li, X., Micallef, S.J., Park, I.-H., Basford, C., Wheeler, M.B., et al. (2011). Stage-specific signaling through TGF β family members and WNT regulates patterning and pancreatic specification of human pluripotent stem cells. Development *138*, 861–871.

Ogawa, S., Surapisitchat, J., Virtanen, C., Ogawa, M., Niapour, M., Sugamori, K.S., Wang, S., Tamblyn, L., Guillemette, C., Hoffmann, E., et al. (2013). Threedimensional culture and cAMP signaling promote the maturation of human pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocytes. Development *140*, 3285–3296.

Osafune, K., Caron, L., Borowiak, M., Martinez, R.J., Fitz-Gerald, C.S., Sato, Y., Cowan, C.A., Chien, K.R., and Melton, D.A. (2008). Marked differences in differentiation propensity among human embryonic stem cell lines. Nat. Bio-technol. *26*, 313–315.

Palpant, N.J., Pabon, L., Roberts, M., Hadland, B., Jones, D., Jones, C., Moon, R.T., Ruzzo, W.L., Bernstein, I., Zheng, Y., et al. (2015). Inhibition of β -catenin signaling respecifies anterior-like endothelium into beating human cardiomyocytes. Development *142*, 3198–3209.

Ponjavic, J., Oliver, P.L., Lunter, G., and Ponting, C.P. (2009). Genomic and transcriptional co-localization of protein-coding and long non-coding RNA pairs in the developing brain. PLoS Genet. *5*, e1000617.

Rivera-Pérez, J.A., Mallo, M., Gendron-Maguire, M., Gridley, T., and Behringer, R.R. (1995). Goosecoid is not an essential component of the mouse gastrula organizer but is required for craniofacial and rib development. Development *121*, 3005–3012.

Sauvageau, M., Goff, L.A., Lodato, S., Bonev, B., Groff, A.F., Gerhardinger, C., Sanchez-Gomez, D.B., Hacisuleyman, E., Li, E., Spence, M., et al. (2013). Multiple knockout mouse models reveal lincRNAs are required for life and brain development. eLife *2*, e01749.

Schwartz, M.P., Hou, Z., Propson, N.E., Zhang, J., Engstrom, C.J., and Santos, V. (2015). Human pluripotent stem cell-derived neural constructs for predicting neural toxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA *112*, 12516–12521.

Scruggs, B.S., Gilchrist, D.A., Nechaev, S., Muse, G.W., Burkholder, A., Fargo, D.C., and Adelman, K. (2015). Bidirectional transcription arises from two distinct hubs of transcription factor binding and active chromatin. Mol. Cell *58*, 1101–1112.

Shimoda, M., Kanai-Azuma, M., Hara, K., Miyazaki, S., Kanai, Y., Monden, M., and Miyazaki, J. (2007). Sox17 plays a substantial role in late-stage differentiation of the extraembryonic endoderm in vitro. J. Cell Sci. *120*, 3859–3869.

Sigova, A.A., Mullen, A.C., Molinie, B., Gupta, S., Orlando, D.A., Guenther, M.G., Almada, A.E., Lin, C., Sharp, P.A., Giallourakis, C.C., and Young, R.A. (2013). Divergent transcription of long noncoding RNA/mRNA gene pairs in embryonic stem cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *110*, 2876–2881.

Sim, X., Cardenas-Diaz, F.L., French, D.L., and Gadue, P. (2016). A Doxycycline-Inducible System for Genetic Correction of iPSC Disease Models. Methods Mol. Biol. *1353*, 13–23.

Simon, J.M., Giresi, P.G., Davis, I.J., and Lieb, J.D. (2012). Using formaldehyde-assisted isolation of regulatory elements (FAIRE) to isolate active regulatory DNA. Nat. Protoc. 7, 256–267. Tam, P.P., and Beddington, R.S. (1987). The formation of mesodermal tissues in the mouse embryo during gastrulation and early organogenesis. Development *99*, 109–126.

Tsankov, A.M., Gu, H., Akopian, V., Ziller, M.J., Donaghey, J., Amit, I., Gnirke, A., and Meissner, A. (2015). Transcription factor binding dynamics during human ES cell differentiation. Nature *518*, 344–349.

Wang, L., Park, H.J., Dasari, S., Wang, S., Kocher, J.-P., and Li, W. (2013). CPAT: Coding-Potential Assessment Tool using an alignment-free logistic regression model. Nucleic Acids Res. *41*, e74.

Wang, P., Xue, Y., Han, Y., Lin, L., Wu, C., Xu, S., Jiang, Z., Xu, J., Liu, Q., and Cao, X. (2014). The STAT3-binding long noncoding RNA Inc-DC controls human dendritic cell differentiation. Science *344*, 310–313.

Xie, C., Yuan, J., Li, H., Li, M., Zhao, G., Bu, D., Zhu, W., Wu, W., Chen, R., and Zhao, Y. (2014). NONCODEv4: exploring the world of long non-coding RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res. *42*, D98–D103.

Zorn, A.M., and Wells, J.M. (2009). Vertebrate endoderm development and organ formation. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *25*, 221–251.